

APPENDIX D - Statutory Objections and Officer Comments

Road	Ref No:	Comments	Officers Response
<u>ANSELM ROAD</u>			
	3168	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006150 Objects on the basis of there not being enough parking bays for 35 houses	The total of 13 bays that are proposed were the maximum number of bays that can safely be provided in the CPZ design on the west side of Anselm Road due the high proportion of existing approved vehicular access points that exist there. There would be no benefit in reversing the design to locate bays on the east side of the road as the same scenario would still exist.
	3165	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006161 Hours of operation from 9am - 6.30pm Mon - Sat would be better (stopping commuters or shoppers from parking). Wants to see a double yellow line on the east side of Anselm Road, road being too narrow to park on both sides	The majority of responses received did not support the proposed measures but did not make comment on changing the controlled times to 9am - 6.30pm but officers acknowledge that these controlled times would help to prevent shoppers and commuters from parking in Anselm Road

	3086	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006169</p> <p>1.Hours of operation will be more effective from 9am - 6.30pm Mon - Sat to prevent commuters or people using the road for parking whilst shopping.</p> <p>2.Implementation of a double yellow line on the East side of Anselm Road</p>	<p>The majority of responses received did not support the proposed measures but did not make comment on changing the controlled times to 9am - 6.30pm but officers acknowledge that these controlled times would help to prevent shoppers and commuters from parking in Anselm Road</p>
	3180	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref GC006135</p> <p>Objects on the basis that parking will not help residents as non-residents can still park there</p> <p>Feels the traffic within the road is likely to increase as a result.</p> <p>12 spaces proposed are not enough for 35 houses</p> <p>Unfair</p>	<p>Under a CPZ design non-residents would only be able to park on yellow lines in Anselm Road when the controlled hours are not operational providing that they are not creating an obstruction to existing driveways. The total of 13 bays that are proposed were the maximum number of bays that can safely be provided in the CPZ design on the west side of Anselm Road due the high proportion of existing approved vehicular access points that exist there. If non-residents were to park in Anselm Road then they would be parking across existing driveways/access points creating a obstruction. If a CPZ were introduced then the only time that non-residents could legally park would be on the east side of the road after 6.30pm providing that they do not create an obstruction.</p>
	3191	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006038</p> <p>Objects on the basis that the scheme will be a huge inconvenience to the residents especially when they have visitors or builders.</p> <p>The proposals will encourage people to pave their driveways and they will lose the green space.</p>	<p>Under a CPZ scheme residents would be able to buy a book of visitor permits enabling visitors to park. Short term temporary parking permits can be applied for by Builders or other workmen carrying out works within a controlled parking zone. Residents are allowed to apply for a vehicular crossing point from the public highway to suit their individual needs, should they choose to.</p>

	3193	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006009 Objects on the basis that the restricted hours of parking should be 11am-12 midday Does not understand why residents would be charged for a permit? States that there is no justification in charging for permits unless the objective is for generating income</p>	<p>The majority of responses received did not support the proposed measures but did not make comment on changing the controlled times to 11am - 12 midday but officers acknowledge that these controlled times would help to prevent shoppers and commuters from parking in Anselm Road. National legislation requires CPZ's to be self financing to cover the installation, maintenance and ongoing costs of running and enforcing a CPZ.</p>
	3192	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006011 Objects: wants to see the hours of operation from 9am - 6.30pm Monday to Saturday to prevent commuters or people using the road for parking whilst shopping. Wants to see the implementation of a double yellow line on the East side of Anselm Road to stop vehicles parking at any time and causing congestion. the road being too narrow to park cars on both sides of the carriageway. Insufficient number of bays for 35 houses.</p>	<p>The majority of responses received did not support the proposed measures but did not make comment on changing the controlled times to 9am - 6.30pm but officers acknowledge that these controlled times would help to prevent shoppers and commuters from parking in Anselm Road. Under the CPZ controls for Hatch End non-residents would only be able to park on yellow lines in Anselm Road when the controlled hours are not operational providing that they are not creating an obstruction to existing driveways. The total of 13 bays that are proposed were the maximum number of bays that can be provided in the CPZ design on the west side of Anselm Road due the high proportion of existing approved vehicular access points that exist there.</p>

	3189	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006020</p> <p>Objection on the basis that that there is no parking problem in the road that the proposals would address.</p> <p>Highlights that the only issues occur at weekends and evenings when people park to use the restaurants on Uxbridge Road. The proposals will encourage people to pave their driveways and they will lose the green space.</p>	<p>Residents/businesses from Hatch End were asked for their views and suggestions for various possible measures at Public Consultation stage. Majority support for controlled parking measures was received from Public Consultation. The Statutory Consultation proposals were based on this. A CPZ would help to prevent visitors to shops and restaurants from parking there from Mon - Sat. Residents are allowed to apply for a vehicular crossing point from the public highway to suit their individual needs, should they choose to.</p>
	3185	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006116</p> <p>Objects against the length of the proposed double yellow line into Anselm Road.</p> <p>Requests that it be no longer than 2 metres in length from the junction of Park View.</p>	<p>In accordance with good design practice and Rule 243 of the existing Highway Code (Revised 2007 edition) it is recommended that vehicles should not park within 10m (32 feet) of a junction except in an authorised parking space. The existing proposals reflect this.</p>
	3184	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006114</p> <p>Object on the basis that no revisions to the existing restrictions are required and therefore they is no need for the proposed measures.</p> <p>Object on the basis that the proposals would significantly reduce the amount of parking spaces from the existing layout. Considers that only Dove Park and Westfield Park require proposals for parking, not Anselm Road</p>	<p>The proposals were developed and designed on the basis of majority support received from residents at Public Consultation stage. The proposals would formalise the available carriageway space for residents to park in designated parking bays. Outside of the control hours residents could park on the proposed yellow line providing that no obstruction was being caused. Majority support from residents of both Westfield Park and Dove Park has been received for the implementation of a CPZ in their roads.</p>

<u>DEVONSHIRE ROAD</u>			
	3153	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION: Object on the grounds of parking displacement into Park Road</p>	<p>Officers will NOT be recommending that proposals for Devonshire Road are progressed as there has been a lack of support from residents for the implementaion of measures at the Statutory Consultation stage. Officers are now recommending that proposals for this road be dropped and that the existing parking arrangements for Devonshire Road remain.</p>
	3161	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006071 Objects for the following reasons: She was not made aware of the consultation in October and didn't have a chance to make her view known The council has not given full reasons why there are restrictions for Devonshire Road but Cornwall Road was not included. Does not approve of charging for permits, no guarantee of a space. Wants to restrict builders and workmen parking outside the house. Does not understand why there is a proposed Saturday restriction. Confirmed that they only use on street space on Sat and Suns so reluctant to pay for a permit.</p>	<p>All residents of Devonshire Road were sent consultation information to make comments on. This was checked with the distribution company and no problems with delivery were reported. Cornwall road was not included in the Statutory Consultation deisgn proposals due to lack of support. The February2013 TARSAP report documents all the results recieved for Hatch End including Cornwall Road. A permit fee applies to cover the installation, maintenance and ongoing cost of running and enforcing a CPZ. Workmen would be restricted in the CPZ if it were introduced unless they were residents with a permit. Workmen would have to apply for a short term temporary parking permit when carrying out ongoing works within a controlled parking zone. The Saturday restriction was included as there was support for this from the public consultation.</p>

	3181	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006149 Object on the basis that it will not make any improvement Parking control measures will be unsightly with new signs and lining Highlights the expenses of parking as a visitor of £1.56 in relation to P&D parking charges</p>	<p>CPZ parking measures were developed as a result of majority support from residents/businesses at public consultation stage. A requirement of a CPZ is that the measures are suitably signed and marked on site in accordance with the Traffic Signs Road General Directions 2002. Visitor parking charges for CPZ's has been standardised across the borough. The existing fee structure was approved by Councillors via the Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel.</p>
	3188	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006010 Objects on the basis that the proposals will hinder most of the residents in Devonshire Road and this will disrupt his 8 tenants living at the address, 4 of which currently park on-street. Suggests that residents see this as a money making exercise.</p>	<p>CPZ parking measures were developed as a result of majority support from residents/businesses at public consultation stage. Support for implementing the proposals following Statutory Consultation was NOT received for the CPZ measures. National legislation requires CPZ's to be self financing to cover the installation, maintenance and ongoing costs of running and enforcing a CPZ.</p>

	3187	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006072</p> <p>Objects due to the proposals being disproportionate to the parking problems, ie commuter parking appears to be spurious. His observations are that parking congestion is at its worst first thing in the morning, evening and at night time. This indicating that commuter parking is not a significant problem. Cause of the apparent problem being residents parking on street for their own convenience and the high density of housing. Not aware of commuters driving their cars away from Devonshire Road at the end of the day. Feels that the scheme should also be included for adjacent roads to Devonshire Road and Wellington Road otherwise it will displace cars to these other roads.</p>	<p>CPZ parking measures were developed as a result of majority support from residents/businesses at public consultation stage. These proposals were developed as a result of complaints from local residents in Hatch End complaining of commuter parking issues.</p>
<u>PARK VIEW</u>			
	3173	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006121</p> <p>Objects on the basis of parking displacement from Anselm Road</p>	<p>Officers acknowledge the concerns from residents of Park View but proposals were not developed at for Park View at the Statutory Consultation stage as a result of there being a lack of support for measures being developed at the Public Consultation stage of the scheme. There has been a lack of support from residents for the implementaion of measures for Anselm Road and on this basis Officers are now recommending that proposals for this road are dropped.</p>

	3182	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006123 Objects on the basis of displacement parking from Anselm Road and see the scheme as a revenue generator for the council.	Refer to comment above
	3178	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006133 Objects on the basis of parking displacement from Anselm Road	Refer to comment above
	3177	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006132 Objects on the basis of parking displacement occurring from Anselm Road	Refer to comment above
	3176	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006131 Objects on the basis on parking displacement occurring from Anselm Road	Refer to comment above
	3174	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006119 Objects on the basis of parking displacement from Anselm Road	Refer to comment above
	3172	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006157 Objects on the basis of parking displacement from Anselm Road	Refer to comment above
	3171	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006151 Objects on the basis of parking displacement from Anselm Road	Refer to comment above

	3170	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006158 Objects on the basis of parking displacement from Anselm Road	Refer to comment above
	3194	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006120 Objects because as they leave the junction of Anselm Road and Park View it is very difficult to get out to the main road and people do not park properly	Refer to comment above
	3175	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006117 Object on the basis that parking displacement will occur from Anselm Road	Refer to comment above
	3167	STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006122 Objects on the grounds of concerns of parking displacement from Anselm Road	Refer to comment above
<u>SYLVIA AVENUE</u>			

	3179	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006134 Object on the basis that there will be displacement parking into roads where are no proposed measures for, ie Cornwall Road and grimsdyle Road.</p> <p>Other comments: Could not understand why various roads (Grimsdyke Road, Could not be included)</p>	<p>Officers acknowledge the concerns regarding displacement parking but proposals were not developed for Cornwall Road and Grimsdyke Road at the Statutory Consultation stage as a result of there being a lack of support for measures being developed at the Public Consultation stage of the scheme. Statutory Consultation proposals are developed on the basis of majority support being received at Public Consultation stage.</p>
<u>THE AVENUE</u>			
	2957	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION Only wants the CPZ restriction times to apply from 10am to 11am Mon - Fri</p>	<p>The majority of consultess who responded to the Statutory Consultation supported the proposed Mon-Sat 10-11am and 3-4pm so recommendations for these days and hours of control have been recommended to the panel.</p>
	3190	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION Objects on the basis of parking displacement from The Avenue to Cedar Drive</p>	<p>It was agreed by Local Councillors that Cedar Drive would not be included in the Public Consultation exercise as part of these works and therefore this road had not been included.</p>
<u>WALPOLE CLOSE</u>			

	3151	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION</p> <p>Objects on the basis of parking displacement from the Avenue</p> <p>Wants to see and proposes that "WALPOLE CLOSE" be included in the proposed CPZ (refer to email from 13/06/13)</p>	<p>Walpole Close residents were provided with Statutory Consultation information and from the responses received 66% of residents supported their inclusion to a CPZ. Officers have spoken with Local Councillors who are aware that a Statutory Consultation showing suitable proposed measures would need to take place if they were to be included. From the responses received Officers recommend that the panel approve a Statutory Consultation exercise to take place and be reported back to Portfolio Holder with a view to the possible implementation of suitable supported measures.</p>
	3164	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006164</p> <p>Objects on the grounds of parking displacement into Walpole Close from the Avenue</p>	<p>Walpole Close residents were provided with Statutory Consultation information and from the responses received 66% of residents supported their inclusion to a CPZ. Officers have spoken with Local Councillors who are aware that a Statutory Consultation showing suitable proposed measures would need to take place if they were to be included. From the responses received Officers recommend that the panel approve a Statutory Consultation exercise to take place and be reported back to Portfolio Holder with a view to the possible implementation of suitable supported measures.</p>
<u>WESTFIELD PARK</u>			

	3030	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref:GC006093</p> <p>Objects on the basis that 19% of people voted so 80% do not consider parking to be a problem</p> <p>Its an opportunity for the council to make money from the scheme</p> <p>There is no point in the scheme operationg on Saturdays</p>	<p>A 19% response rate from a consultation is a typical response rate. All residents and businesses are encouraged to respond to consultations exercises and the imporatnce of this is highlighted on all consultation documents. Officers do NOT automatically consider that 80% of consultees who do not respond think that there are not existing problems.</p>
	3169	<p>STATUTORY OBJECTION - Ref: GC006159</p> <p>Objects on the basis of having to pay a permit fee for parking outside her house (Has her own space/garage but cannot access it due to rubbish being dumped infornt of it blocking access)</p>	<p>Permits are optional if residents choose to park on their drives or in their garages. If rubbish is being left on the <u>public highway</u> that creates an obstruction then this can be reported to the Public Realm team at Harrow Council.</p>